


1  
2  
3  
4 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY  
5 FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, TO ALL COUNSEL Plaintiffs  
6 (OR PARTIES) AT THEIR RESPECTIVE MOST RECENT ADDRESS OF  
7 RECORD IN THIS ACTION ON THIS DATE.

8 DATED: 7/19/2011  
9   
10 DEPUTY CLERK



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RUTH PREVEN, et al., } Case No. CV 11-2340-R (RNB)  
Plaintiffs, }  
vs. } ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS  
THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al., } AND RECOMMENDATION  
Defendants. } OF UNITED STATES  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Complaint, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections have been made. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that (1) defendants' motions to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and for failure to state a claim are granted; (2) plaintiffs' federal civil rights claims are dismissed without leave to amend; (3) supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims being raised by plaintiffs is declined and those claims are dismissed without prejudice to plaintiffs raising any

1 such claims in state court; and (4) Judgment be entered dismissing this action  
2 accordingly.

3

4

5 DATED: July 18, 2011

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



---

MANUEL L. REAL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE